¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 20	11-12
---	-------

School	Performance	e Framework	2012

School: COMMUNITY PREP CHARTER SCHOOL - 1885

AEC: Performance (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Framework Points Earned	ł
at or above 60%	-
at or above 47% - below 60%	1
at or above 33% - below 47%	ì
below 33%	1
	at or above 60% at or above 47% - below 60% at or above 33% - below 47%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	29.2%	(4.4 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		25.8%	(25.8 out of 100 points)	
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points	on an indicator due to insufficient	numbers of s	tudents. In these cases, the points	s are removed from both the points earned

and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Stu	idents Tested			Participo	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	95.4%	95.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	62	62	-	-	65	65
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	62	62	-	-	62	62
Writing	-	-	95.4%	95.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	62	62	-	-	65	65
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	39	39	-	-	39	39
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.4%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	37	-	-	-	38	-



SCHOOLVIeW.org

Level:

Performance Indicators								vel: High Schoo
School: COMMUNITY PREP CHARTER		Deinte Elizible	0/ Datata	Dutter.			istrict: COLORADO SPRINGS	11 - 1010 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<u>N</u>	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	43.48	6	1
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	6.38	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	17.39	5	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	16.13	5	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	22	41	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	9	99	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	34	79	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	<u> </u>	_	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
					C. I	Culum Ad II	C. I	84
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	22	42	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	21	41	58	No
Mathematics	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	8	99	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	7	99	No
Writing	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	33	81	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	33	94	No
Total	7	24	29.2%	Does Not Meet				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		Ν	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet		73/78/51/ 39	23.3/29.5/31.4/ 51.3 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.75	3	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		51/56/41/ 27	27.5/28.6/36.6/ 37 %	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		29/31/ 26 /N<16	20.7/22.6/ 30.8 /-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	N<	<16/ 19 /N<16/N<16	-/ 21.1 /-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16/	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet		358	11.5%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		37	14.9	20.0
Total	3.75	15	25%	Does Not Meet				

									Level.
	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	ework Report							
erformance Indicator	-				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Leve	Framework Poir
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advo				1				
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009)	•			Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile				Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile		baseline).		proaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bits)	•		Doe	es Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per	centile and its median student grow	vth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5 1	(4 for each	
• · · · • • ·	below 45 but at or above 30.							content area	25
Academic	• below 30.			Doe	es Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its median stud	ent growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				proaching	2	1	-	
	• below 40.				es Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student s • at or above 60.	growth percentile and its median si	ludent growth percentile was		Exceeds		4	4	
							3	-	
	 below 60 but at or above 45. below 45 but at or above 30. 				Meets		2	- 60	
Acadomic	• below 45 but at of above 50.				proaching			-	
Academic					es Not Meet	eet 1		(4 for each of 5	15
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	student growth percentile and its h	neulun student growth percer		Eveneda		4	subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds Meets		4 3	subject areas)	
							2	-	
	below 55 but at or above 40.below 40.				proaching s Not Meet		1	-	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	· The school's graduation rate	disagaragated graduatio		s NUL MEEL	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		uisuyyieyuteu yiuuuutio		Exceeds	4	1	-	
	• at or above 90%.				Meets	3	0.75	-	
	at or above 85% but below 90%.				proaching	2	0.75	-	
	• below 65%.				es Not Meet	1	0.25	-	
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			DUE	S NOT MEET	1	0.25	- 16	
Destessondary and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	Eveneda		4	-	25
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds		-	(4 for each sub-	35
Norkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-				Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009	-10 baseline).			proaching		2	-	
	• above 10%.			Doe	es Not Meet		1	-	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Loiorado ACT composite score	was:		- 1			-	
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4	-	
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1				Meets		3	-	
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1	u baseline).			proaching		2	-	
	• below 17.				es Not Meet		1		
ut-Points for each pe	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assignme	ent				
Cut	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t	his Indicator.		Cut Point: Th	ne school e	earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligibl	е.
Achievement; • a	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		 at or above 	e 60%				Performance
Growth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	 at or above 	e 47% - belo	w 60%			Improvement
• ;	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching Points • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%				e 33% - belo	w 47%		Pi	riority Improveme
• !	below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	6				Turnaround
hool plan type assig	nments								
	Plan description								
erformance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implem	ent a Priority Imr	provement a	and/or Tu	rnaround F	Plan for longer than a combined to	otal of
nprovement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an I		- · ·					ture or close the school. The five	
•		•				•			
riority Improvement			- '					liately following the fall in which t	ne
urnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Tu	rnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is	s required to impl	lement a Pri	iority Imp	rovement	or Turnaround Plan.	

Scoring Guide

Level: H

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

· · ·

. .

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)												
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Stude	nts Profi	cient or A	dvanced	d by Pere	centile Cu	t-Points	- 3-year	aggrega	te (2008 [.]	10 base	line)	
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	24.4	37.5	50	51.3
Anticipated Year	2009	13.3	21.3	31.4	
of Graduation	2010	16.7	29.5		
	2011	23.3			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	24.4	37.5	50	51.3
Anticipated Year	2009	13.3	21.3	31.4	
of Graduation	2010	16.7	29.5		
	2011	23.3			
	Aggregated	20	29.1	39.6	51.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	17.9	26.9	34.5	37
Anticipated Year	2009	16.7	23.7	36.6	
of Graduation	2010	15.2	28.6		
	2011	27.5			
	Aggregated	20.1	26.7	35.7	37

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	12.5	29.4	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	15	21.4	30.8	
of Graduation	2010	7.4	22.6		
	2011	20.7			
	Aggregated	14.1	23.7	37.8	N<16
	00 0				

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	11.8	21.1		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	25.6	35.1	77.8	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	17.9	26.9	34.5	37
Anticipated Year	2009	16.7	23.7	36.6	
of Graduation	2010	15.2	28.6		
	2011	27.5			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	12.5	29.4	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	15	21.4	30.8	
of Graduation	2010	7.4	22.6		
	2011	20.7			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	11.8	21.1		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			